| 1  | THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES  |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  |   |  |
| 3  |   |  |
| 4  |   |  |
| 5  |   |  |
| 6  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE                      |  |
| 7  |   |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | ) No. 2:21-cr-00013-RAJ  |
| 9  | Plaintiff,  | )<br>)<br>opper or anythic interposer  |
| 10 | VS.   | <ul> <li>ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED</li> <li>MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL</li> <li>AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS</li> <li>DEADLINE</li> </ul> |
| 11 | FREDERICK PIGOTT,   |  |
| 12 | Defendant.  | )  |
| 13 |   | }  |
| 14 | THE COURT has considered the unopposed motion to continue the trial date                    |  |
| 15 | and pretrial motions deadline and finds that:   |  |
| 16 | (a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a                 |  |
| 17 | continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time           |  |
| 18 | necessary for effective preparation due to counsel's need for more time to review the       |  |
| 19 | evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set   |  |
| 20 | forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); and   |  |
| 21 | (b) a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in         |  |
| 22 | a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i); and                 |  |
| 23 | (c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendant         |  |
| 24 | has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence |  |
| 25 | material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses; and                             |  |
| 26 |   |  |
|    | 1   |  |

- (d) the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in any speedier trial, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A); and
- (e) the additional time requested between the current trial date of June 21, 2022, and the new trial date is necessary to provide counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial, considering counsel's schedule and all of the facts set forth above.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's unopposed motion to continue trial date and pretrial motions deadline (Dkt. 16) is GRANTED. The trial date in this matter is continued to October 11, 2022. All pretrial motions, including motions in limine, shall be filed no later than August 25, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of delay from the date of this order to the new trial date of October 11, 2022, is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

DATED this 6th day of May, 2022.

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge

Richard A Jones